



Michael Sole, Secretary



Jonathan Arthur  
State Geologist and Director

# Surficial Aquifer System Vulnerability Assessment Phase II

## INTRODUCTION

The surficial aquifer system (SAS) is the permeable hydro-stratigraphic unit in Florida contiguous with land surface that comprises principally unconsolidated siliclastic deposits, and to a lesser extent, carbonate rocks. The lower limit of the SAS coincides with less permeable sediments of the top of the IAS (Southeastern Geological Society, 1986). The SAS occurs throughout much of the State and is used extensively in the western panhandle (sand-and-gravel aquifer) and the southeastern peninsula (Biscayne aquifer), however, those areas have been modeled separately and were not included in the analysis. It is mainly used as a secondary source of drinking water with a few exceptions. It is, however, still a major source of ground water for a large portion of the population. Floridians withdrew nearly 600 million gallons of water per day from the surficialaquifer system in 2000 (Marella, 2004).

The preliminary extent of the SAS was based on the extent of the IAS. Modifications of this preliminary extent were based on the distribution of Miocene-Pliocene clay-rich sediments as mapped by Scott et al. (2001). In areas where sediments of the IAS were not mapped on a regional scale, the SAS was not mapped for this project. Further refinement of the SAS extent was accomplished by omitting areas where laterally continuous SAS sediments were calculated at less than ten feet thick and where IAS sediments were at or near land surface. Maps showing the SAS extent in this report reflect only areas where the SAS is present in a laterally continuous and regional extent. Areas for the Biscayne/Surficial and sand-and-gravel aquifers were omitted from this extent. For modeling purposes, the extent of the SAS was further revised to exclude all areas covered by both permanent and seasonal wetlands.

Identifying areas where the surficial aquifer is more vulnerable to contamination from activities at land surface is a critical component of a comprehensive groundwater management program. Protection of the area's aquifer systems is an important measure to take in helping ensure viable, fresh water is available to the residents of the area from the region's aquifer systems for continued future use in Florida. Aquifer vulnerability modeling allows for a pro-active approach to protection of aquifer systems, which can save significant time and increase the value of protection efforts.

**Soil Hydraulic K  
Weighted Avg (in/hr)**  
High : 59.85  
Low : 0.03

**Potential Karst  
Feature Distance (m)**  
3,600+  
30

## Soil Hydraulic Conductivity Theme

The rate that water moves through soil is a critical component of any aquifer vulnerability analysis, as soil is an aquifer system's first line of defense against potential contamination. Soil hydraulic conductivity is the "amount of water that would move vertically through a unit area of saturated soil in unit time under unit hydraulic gradient" (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2005). In 2006, the County soils data were redesigned for the study area by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. As a result, more detailed information was made available for this analysis in the Floridan aquifer system model area than during previous projects.

## Closed Topographic Depressions Theme

Karst features, or sinkholes and closed topographic depressions, can provide preferential pathways for movement of ground water into the underlying aquifer systems and increase an area's aquifer vulnerability where present. The closer an area is to a closed depression, the more vulnerable it may be considered. Closed depressions are identified on the topographic maps as hatched lines and their shapes can range from circular to elongated polygons. These resulting closed depression features can be buffered into 30-m zones out to a distance of 3,000 m to allow for a proximity analysis.

## Generalization of Input Data

The modeling process involves generalizing input layers to evaluate which areas of each data layer share a greater association with locations of training sites, or, simply, aquifer vulnerability. Essentially, this process helps to determine the threshold or thresholds that maximize the spatial association between the patterns in the input data layers and the training sites pattern. For the surficial aquifer system model, a binary break was typically defined by the modeling analysis for each data layer which creates two spatial categories: one with stronger association with the training points and one with weaker association.

Soil Hydraulic Conductivity ranges from 0.03 to 59.85 in/hr) across the study area. Modeling indicated that areas underlain by soils with hydraulic conductivities higher than 36.42 were more associated with higher aquifer vulnerability. Areas underlain by soils with hydraulic conductivities between 6.06 - 36.42 are moderately associated with aquifer vulnerability and values below 6.06 are less associated with aquifer vulnerability. The depth to water ranges from 1 to just 220 feet thick across the study area, and the analysis revealed that areas with 34 feet or less of depth to water were more associated with higher aquifer vulnerability. Finally, the analysis indicated that areas within 2,760 meters of a closed topographic depression were more associated with higher aquifer vulnerability. These generalized themes are used to generate the final model output as shown below.

## APPROACH

The primary purpose of the Surficial Aquifer System Vulnerability Assessment, is to provide a science-based, water-resource management tool that can be used to help minimize adverse impacts on ground-water quality, including focused protection of sensitive areas such as springsheds and ground-water recharge areas. The modeling process used for the model project is "weights of evidence", and is based in a geographic information system (GIS). The approach used in the project is a modification of the technique used in Phase I of the Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment project (Arthur et al., 2007). The main benefits of applying this technique is that it is data-driven, rather than expert-driven, and model output is dependent upon training site datasets which produce self-validated model output. Training sites are ground-water wells with water quality indicative of a good connection between the aquifer and land surface, or simply, aquifer vulnerability.

Model generation is accomplished by associating the training site locations with data layers representing natural conditions which control aquifer vulnerability. Data layers used for the project are described in the adjacent sections and include proximity to closed topographic depressions, depth to water and soil hydraulic conductivity. The model helps determine which areas of each data layer share a greater association with aquifer vulnerability based on the location of the training sites, and then combine them in a map as shown above. The model output map indicates that the areas of highest vulnerability are associated with relatively low depth to water values, close proximity to closed topographic depressions and higher soil hydraulic conductivity. This modeling procedure is described in more detail in Arthur et al. (2007) and the Floirda Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment Phase II report.

## REFERENCES

- Arthur, J.D., Wood, H.A.R., Baker, A.E., Cichon, J.R., and Raines, G.L., 2007, Development and Imple mentation of a Bayesian-based Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment in Florida: Natural Resources Research Journal, v.16, no.2, p.93-107.
- Marella, R.L., 2004, Water withdrawals, use, discharge, and trends in Florida, 2000: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2004-5151, 136 p.
- Scott, T.M., Campbell, K.M., Rupert, F.R., Arthur, J.D., Missimer, T.M., Lloyd, J.M., Yon, J.W., Duncan, J.G., 2001, Geologic Map of the State of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Map Series 146, Scale 1:750,000, 1 sheet.
- Southeastern Geological Society (U.S.), Ad Hoc Committee on Florida Hydrostratigraphic Unit Definition, 1986, Hydrogeological units of Florida, Florida Geological Survey Special Publication No. 28, 8p.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2005, National Soil Survey Handbook, title 430-VI. [Online] Available: <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/handbook/>.

## Qualifications:

Phase II of the Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment project, which includes preparation of this poster, was funded in part by a Section 106 Water Pollution Control Program grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) through a contract with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection/Florida Geological Survey (FDEP/FGS). The total cost of Phase II of the FAVA project was \$234,899, of which 11% was provided by the US EPA. The FAVA maps were developed by the FDEP/FGS or its contractor to carry out agency responsibilities related to management, protection, and responsible development of Florida's natural resources. Although efforts have been made to make the information in these maps accurate and useful, the FDEP/FGS assumes no responsibility for errors in the information and does not guarantee that the data are free from errors or inaccuracies. Similarly FDEP/FGS assumes no responsibility for the consequences of inappropriate uses or interpretations of the data on these maps. As such, these maps are distributed on an "as is" basis and the user assumes all risk as to their quality, the results obtained from their use, and the performance of the data. FDEP/FGS further makes no warranties, either expressed or implied as to any other matter whatsoever, including, without limitation, the condition of the product, or its suitability for any particular purpose. The burden for determining suitability for use lies entirely with the user. In no event shall the FDEP/FGS or its employees have any liability whatsoever for payment of any consequential, incidental, indirect, special, or tort damages of any kind arising out of use of or reliance on the maps or support by FDEP/FGS. FDEP/FGS bears no responsibility to inform users of any changes made to this data. Anyone using this data is advised that resolution implied by the data may far exceed actual accuracy and precision. Comments on this data are invited and FDEP/FGS would appreciate that documented errors be brought to the attention of FDEP/FGS staff. Because part of this data was developed and collected with U.S. Government and/or State of Florida funding, no proprietary rights may be attached to it in whole or in part, nor may it be sold to the U.S. Government or the Florida State Government as part of any procurement of products or services.

This poster is developed as part of Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (FAVA) Phase II for the Florida Department of Environmental Protection/Florida Geological Survey per FDEP/FGS Contract No. RM059. Version 1.0: August 31, 2009. The vulnerability map accompanies "The Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment Report (FAVA) Phase II" prepared by Alan E. Baker, P.G. 2324, and James R. Cichon of Advanced GeoSpatial Inc.; FAVA Phase II project managers are Allan Stodghill, P.G. (FDEP), Division of Water Resource Management and Rick Copeland, Ph.D., P.G., FDEP/FGS.